

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/742,151

DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PERMITTING A SERVER TO REMOTELY ACCESS ASSET  
INFORMATION OF A MOBILE CLIENT

Our Docket No. RPS920030194US1

Please call 561-210-5230 with any questions and to schedule an interview time, if agreed upon.

Best regards □ For the Firm,

**Alaine Allison**

IP Specialist / Office Administrator

Patents On Demand, P.A.

4581 Weston Road, Suite 345

Weston, FL 33331

[alaine.allison@patentsondemand.com](mailto:alaine.allison@patentsondemand.com)

Ph: **561-210-5230**

Fax: **866-446-2118**

*This transmission is intended to be delivered only to the named addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential, proprietary, attorney work-product or attorney-client privileged. If this information is received by anyone other than the named and intended addressee(s), the recipient should immediately notify the sender by E-MAIL and by telephone at the phone number of the sender listed on the email and obtain instructions as to the disposal of the transmitted material. In no event shall this material be read, used, copied, reproduced, stored or retained by anyone other than the named addressee(s), except with the express consent of the sender or the named addressee(s). Thank you.*



Patents On Demand, P.A.  
4581 Weston Road # 345  
Weston, Florida 33331

May 24, 2010

Via Facsimile Transmission  
571-273-3116

Examiner Karen C. Tang  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Art Unit 2451  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RE: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/742,151  
Filed December 19, 2003  
Applicants – Cromer, et al.  
Attorney Docket No. RPS920030194US1

Dear Examiner Tang:

Please consider this document as Applicants' formal request for an interview with their undersigned representative and attorney of record, Scott M. Garrett. Applicants would greatly appreciate the opportunity to conduct an interview with both you and Examiner Follansbee at your earliest possible convenience. The items listed on the following pages are issues that I would like to speak with you both about.

Kindly contact my office at (561) 210-5230 or [docketing1@patentsondemand.com](mailto:docketing1@patentsondemand.com) if you and Examiner Follansbee agree that an interview will be granted.

I look forward to discussing these issues with you and Mr. Follansbee.

For the Firm,

**PATENTS ON DEMAND, P.A.**

*Scott M. Garrett*

Scott M. Garrett, Registration 39,988

SMG/aa  
Attachment

U.S. Appln. No. 10/742,151  
Examiner Interview Topics  
Docket No. RPS920030194US1  
Page 2

### **Not For Entry Into Application Record**

#### Items for Discussion:

1. Given Applicants' restricted budget considerations, Applicants may be more inclined to abandon the application rather than appeal or file an RCE; therefore if Examiner has any suggestions as to how to amend the claims or specification to arrive at likely allowable subject matter, we would appreciate hearing them.
2. Objection to the specification/101 rejections; computer readable storage media. The claims originally recited "computer readable media," and were amended to "computer readable storage media." Applicants cited a section of the specification that says "stored in a computer readable media." Applicants seek an understanding as to how this is not "clear support" for the amendment.
3. Computer program product claims 7-13. Computer program product claims are statutory subject matter when properly recited. Applicants seek clarification as to how the language of the claims does not comply with the requirements of computer program product claims.
4. 112 rejections generally; Claim 3 as originally filed stated that the mobile system, when in the powered down state, periodically wakes up the wireless network adapter to poll the access point for pending requests. This limitation has been added to the independent claims, emphasizing that the mobile device remains otherwise powered off. The general indication of the 112 rejections allege that the specification does not support the mobile device remaining powered off while the NIC is powered up to respond to asset info requests. Applicants have cited page 2, starting at line 28 of the specification (among other sections) as support for the claim amendments. The specification states the mobile system, when in a powered down state, powers up the NIC while otherwise remaining powered off. The word "while" indicates the "otherwise remaining powered down" occurs at the same time, i.e. only the NIC is powered on.
5. Allegation of admission; the present action alleges that Applicants' representative has made an admission regarding FIG. 5, apparently based on the statement that referring to a mobile device was considered "linguistically conventional," which refers to usage of the term, and is not an indication that the subject matter itself is "conventional."
6. Any other issues which arise during the discussion.